

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/578,787	05/08/2006	Michael Neumann	2003P01684WOUS	8723	
46726 BSH HOME A	7590 04/01/200 APPLIANCES CORPOI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 100 BOSCH BOULEVARD NEW BERN, NC 28562			ALI, MOHAMMAD M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		3744			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

10/578,787 NEUMANN ET AL.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MOHAMMAD M. ALI	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ac	Idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Estensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I NO profined for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period very the provision of 37 CFR 1.1 Any reply recived by the Office later than three months after the mailing aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u> 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 10-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 10-18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 08 Mav 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the teleplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☐ accepted or b)☑ objected to l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/95/08) Paper No(s)Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 3744

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "pump is driven by the by at least one opening closing a door of a refrigeration device" for claim 16 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner. the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,787

Art Unit: 3744

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another field in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another field in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application field under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application field in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S.

patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before

November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined

under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C.

102(e)).

Claims 10-11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Navaro (20020062654). Navaro discloses a refrigeration device comprising a collection device 13 for condensed water and a vaporizer hot coil 12 connected to the collection device 13. See Fig. 1 and Para [0039].

Claims 10, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Masashi (2003-202179). Masashi7 discloses a refrigeration device comprising a collection device 7 for condensed water and a vaporizer/altrasonic

Application/Control Number: 10/578,787

Art Unit: 3744

vibrator 11 connected to the collection device 7. See Fig.s 5-6 and Para [0005] of enclosed translation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Navaro or Masashi. Navaro and Masashi discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above except cooling a compressor. The general concept of cooling a heat generating body or component of a refrigerant system by condensate water generated by the cooling heat exchanger/evaporator falls within the ream of common knowledge as obvious mechanical expedient and this illustrated by both Navaro and Masashi which teach the cooling of a heat generated member like condenser is cooled by condensate water and one ordinary skill of art can apply this principle of cooling a heat generating member to other heat generating member like compressor.

Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 3744

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at

- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Navaro in view of Funk (4,477,166) and Parenti (2,222,823).

Navaro discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above except solenoid displaceable pump. Funk teaches the use of a solenoid pump 25 in to pump water from a water source 26 to an evaporator 27 which heated and receives pump water in order to vaporize the water. However Funk does not disclose details of the solenoid pump to disclose the solenoid displaceable in a coil of linearly driving a piston. See Fig.1, column 3, lines 54-68. Parenti teach the details of the missing portion as mentioned. Parenti disclose solenoid coil 62, a pump piston 20, spring 55, 44 which transpires that the piston 20 moves by the actuation of solenoid coil 65 and springs 55, 44. See Fig 1. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the pump of Navaro in view of Funk and Par34enti such that a linearly displaceable solenoid operated pump could be provided in order to

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Navaro in view of Shiraishi (JP 2002-295968).

Navaro discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above except a door operated pump. Shiraishi teaches the use of a door operated pump with a cylinder 23, a piston 24 filled with working fluid. In a refrigerator See

Art Unit: 3744

Fig. in a refrigerator for the purpose of using a pump during opening closing a door. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the condensate pump of Navaro in view of Shirashi such that a door operated pump could be provided so that it could be utilized to pump the condensate water. In other words the general concept of designing a door operated pump falls within the common knowledge as obvious mechanical expedient and this is illustrated by Shiraich, which teaches the actuation of a refrigerant door operated pump with cylinder 23 which supports a piston 22 and a pipe filled with working fluid 24. The piston is actuated along the opening direction of the door by a pump which pressurizes the working fluid and this mechanism is to replace the pump or Navaro by a door operated pump to pump the condensate water to the heat generated device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOHAMMAD M. ALI whose telephone number is (571)272-4806. The examiner can normally be reached on maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,787 Page 7

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mohammad M Ali/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744